## Math 375 <br> Week 7

### 7.1 Equivalence Relations Redux

Definition 1 Let $S$ be a set. $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on $S$ if $R$ satisfies the following three condidtions:
i) for every $s \in S, s \sim s$ ( $s$ is related to itself; reflexive);
ii) for every $s, t \in S$, if $s \sim t$ then $t \sim s$ (symmetric);
iii) for every $s, t, u \in S$, if $s \sim t$ and $t \sim u$ then $s \sim u$ (transitive).

Definition 2 For any $s \in S$, let $[s]$ denote the subset of $S$ consistingt of all $t \in S$ such that $t \sim s$. That is,

$$
[s]=\{t \in S \mid t \sim s\} .
$$

We call $[s]$ the equivalence class of $s$ under the relation $\sim$.
Definition 3 A partition of a set $S$ is a collection of nonempty disjoint subsets of $S$ whose union is all of $S$.

Example 1 If $\sim$ is the equivalence relation $\equiv(\bmod 5)$ on $Z$, then $[0],[1],[2],[3],[4]$ form a partition of $\mathbf{Z}$. This situation is the norm for any equivalence relation.
Example 2 We saw that isomorphisnm $\cong$ was an equivalence relation on the set of all groups.
Theorem 4 Let $\sim$ be an equivalence relation on $S$. Then the equivalence classes of $\sim$ form a partition of $S$. That is, every element is in exactly one equivalence class. And conversely.

Proof Let $\sim$ be the equivalence relation. Since $s \sim s$ for any $s \in S$, it follows that $s \in[s]$. That is, no class is empty. Second, the union of all equivalence classes is clearly all of $S$ since every element $s$ of $S$ lies in some equivalence class.

Finally we must show that any two classes are either disjoint or exactly the same. So suppose that two classes $[s]$ and $[t]$ are not disjoint, that is, that there is at least one element $a$ in both $[s]$ and $[t]$. We must show that $[s]=[t]$. (To do this we must show $[s] \subset[t]$ and $[t] \subset[s]$.) To
show $[s] \subset[t]$, let $b \in[s]$. Then: $b \sim s$. But $a \in[s]$, so $s \sim a$ and thus $b \sim a$. But $a \in[t]$ so $a \sim t$ and therefore $b \sim t$. That is, $b \in[t]$. So $[s] \subset[t]$ and similarly $[t] \subset[s]$.

The proof of the converse is an exercise. We'll never use it.
Another way to say the same thing is :

$$
[s]=[t] \Longleftrightarrow[s] \cap[t] \neq \emptyset
$$

Notice that it is actually the equivalence classes $\bmod n$ that we made into a group.

### 7.2 Cosets and the Equivalence Relation $\sim_{H}$

The most important use of an equivalence relation in elementary group theory has to do with the idea of cosets. Cosets are just the equivalence classes of a fancy equivalence relation on a group. Let's examine that relation.

Theorem 5 Let $H$ be a subgroup of a group $G$. Define $a \sim b \Longleftrightarrow a^{-1} b \in H$. Then $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on $G$.

PROOF We have seen this argument before. Reflexive: show $a \sim a$. Well

$$
a \sim a \Longleftrightarrow a^{-1} a \in H \Longleftrightarrow e \in H
$$

Symmetric: If $a \sim b$, show $b \sim a$. But

$$
a \sim b \Rightarrow a^{-1} b \in H \Rightarrow\left(a^{-1} b\right)^{-1} \in H \Rightarrow b^{-1} a \in H \Rightarrow b \sim a
$$

Transitive: Given $a \sim b, b \sim c$, show $a \sim c$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \sim b, b \sim c & \Rightarrow a^{-1} b, b^{-1} c \in H \\
& \Rightarrow\left(a^{-1} b\right)\left(b^{-1} c\right) \in H \\
& \Rightarrow a^{-1} c \in H \Rightarrow a \sim c
\end{aligned}
$$

Remember that any equivalence relation partitions the original set (here $G$ ) into mutually disjoint subsets called equivalence classes. Recall that we used the notation $[a]$ to denote the set of all elements related to $a$. That is, $[a]=\{b \in G \mid a \sim b\}$. Here these equivalence classes are easy to describe.

Definition 6 Let $H$ be subgroup of a group $G$. For any element $a \in G$, the set $a H$ is the left coset of $H$ in $G$ where $a H=\{a h \mid h \in H\}$.

Lemma 7 If $H \leq G$ and $\sim$ is the equivalence relation above, then $a \sim b \Longleftrightarrow b \in$ $a H$. (That is, $[a]=a H$.)

Proof Let $x \in G$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \sim b \Longleftrightarrow a^{-1} b \in H & \Longleftrightarrow a^{-1} b=h, h \in H \\
& \Longleftrightarrow b=a h, h \in H \\
& \Longleftrightarrow b \in a H .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 3 Let $G=U(8)=\{1,3,5,7\}$ and let $H=\{1,5\}$. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[1]=1 H=\{1,5\}} \\
& {[3]=3 H=\{3,7\}} \\
& {[5]=5 H=\{5,1\}=1 H} \\
& {[7]=7 H=\{7,3\}=3 H}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 4 Let $G=\mathbf{Z}_{12}$ and $H=<4>=\{0,4,8\}$. Find the left cosets of $H$ in $G$. Note that each coset has the same number of elements and that cosets are either disjoint or are identical, i.e., they partition $G$.

Example 5 Let $G=S_{3}, H=A_{3}=\{(1),(123),(132)\}$. Notice that

$$
(1) \sim g \Longleftrightarrow(1)^{-1} g \in A_{3} \Longleftrightarrow g \in A_{3} .
$$

So $[(1)]=(1) A_{3}=A_{3}$. Notice that

$$
(12) \sim g \Longleftrightarrow(12)^{-1} g \in A_{3} \Longleftrightarrow(12) g \in A_{3} \Longleftrightarrow g \text { is odd. }
$$

Therefore $[(12)]=(12) A_{3}=\{(12),(13),(23)\}$. Since the left cosets (equivalence classes) of $A_{3}$ partition $S_{3}$, we know we can stop looking for other left cosets. The two we found already yield all of $S_{3}$. They are $A_{3}$ and $(12) A_{3}=\{(12),(23),(13)\}$ which are disjoint and partition $S_{3}$. Notice each class has the same number of elements.

Example 6 Let $G=\mathbf{Z}$ and let $H=5 \mathrm{Z}=\{\ldots,-10,5,0,5,10, \ldots\}=\{5 n \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. $H$ is clearly a subgroup. We saw that the equivalence classes of $\sim$ were $[a]=\{a+5 n \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\}=a+H=a+5 \mathbf{Z}$. In particular:

$$
\begin{aligned}
5 \mathrm{Z}=0+5 \mathrm{Z} & =\{\ldots,-10,5,0,5,10, \ldots\}=\ldots=[-5]=[0]=[5]=\ldots \\
1+5 \mathrm{Z} & =\{\ldots,-9,-4,1,6,11, \ldots\}=\ldots=[-4]=[1]=[6]=\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

and so on.

Example 7 Let $G=G L(n, \mathbf{R})$ and let $H=S L(n, \mathbf{R})=\{A \in G L(n, \mathbf{R}) \mid \operatorname{det} A=1\}$.
Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A \sim B \Longleftrightarrow A^{-1} B \in S L(n) & \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{det} A^{-1} B=1 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{det} B \operatorname{det} A^{-1}=1 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{det} B(\operatorname{det} A)^{-1}=1 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{det} A=\operatorname{det} B .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we get an equivalence class or left coset for $S L(n, \mathbf{R})$ for each different non-zero real number.

Now we could have started out using a similar equivalaence relation: Let $H \leq G$ and define the equivalence relation $\approx$ defined by $a \approx b \Longleftrightarrow$ $b a^{-1} \in H$. (It is an easy check to see that this is an equivalence relation.) We would then find that $a \approx b \Longleftrightarrow b \in H a=\{h a \mid h \in H\}$. The set $H a$ is called the right coset of the subgroup $H$ in $G$.

Example 8 It is not true that $a H=H a$ for all $H \leq G$. Clearly, we ust look at nonabelian groups to find an example. Let $G=D_{4}$ and $H=\left\{r_{0}, v\right\}$. Then: $r_{90} H=\left\{r_{90}, d^{\prime}\right\}$ while $H r_{90}=\left\{r_{90}, d\right\}$. This is a very important example. However, do notice that both cosets do have the same number of elements in them.

Example 9 Let $G=S_{3}$ and $H=S_{2}=\{(1),(12)\}$. Compare the right and left cosets of $H$ in $G$.
The right cosets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(1) & =H=H(12) \\
H(13) & =\{(13),(132)\}=H(132) \\
H(23) & =\{(23),(123)\}=H(123)
\end{aligned}
$$

The left cosets are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { (1) } H & =H=(12) H \\
(13) H & =\{(13),(123)\}=(123) H \\
(23) H & =\{(23),(132)\}=(132) H
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that the number of left cosets is the same as the number of right cosets. However, in general $a H \neq H a$. Notice that all the cosets, left or right have the same number of elements. We will prove that this last observation is true in general.

Theorem 8 (Properties of Cosets) Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$.
а) $a \in a H$;
b) $a H=b H$ or $a H \cap b H=\emptyset$;
c) $a H=b H \Longleftrightarrow a^{-1} b \in H$;
d) $a H=H \Longleftrightarrow a \in H$;
e) $|a H|=|b H|=|H|$;
f) $a H=H a \Longleftrightarrow H=a H a^{-1}$;
g) $a H$ is a subgroup of $G \Longleftrightarrow a \in H(\Longleftrightarrow a H=H)$.
proof a Since $e \in H$, then $a=a e \in a H$. Alternately, $a \sim a \Rightarrow a \in[a]=a H$.
B Cosets are just the equivalence classes of the relation $\sim_{H}$ and are, therefore, equal or disjoint.

с $a H=b H \Longleftrightarrow b \in a H \Longleftrightarrow a \sim b \Longleftrightarrow a^{-1} b \in H$. (The first $\Longleftrightarrow$ uses the previous fact that cosets are either disjoint or equal.)

D $\quad H=a \Longleftrightarrow e H=H \Longleftrightarrow e^{-1} a \in H \Longleftrightarrow a \in H$.
E Define the mapping $\phi: H \rightarrow a H$ by $\phi h=a h$. We have seen that this map is injective, and by definition of $a H$ it is surjective. Therefore, $|H|=|a H|$. Similarly $|b H|=|H|$ so $|a H|=|b H|$.

F $a H=H a \Longleftrightarrow(a H) a^{-1}=(H a) a^{-1} \Longleftrightarrow a H a^{-1}=H . \quad$ Here $a H a^{-1}=\left\{a h a^{-1} \mid h \in H\right\}$.
G $\quad a H \leq G \Rightarrow e \in a H \Rightarrow e H=a H \Rightarrow H=a H$. Of couse this says that $a \in H$. Conversely, $a \in H \Rightarrow a H=H \Rightarrow a H$ is a subgroup.

Part (e) of this theorem is very important. Let $G$ be a finite group. Because the cosets of $H$ partition $G$ we can write

$$
G=a_{1} H \cup a_{2} H \cup \cdots \cup a_{k} H, \quad a_{i} H \cap H a_{j}=\emptyset .
$$

Notice that finiteness of $G$ is important because it means that the number of cosets is finite and the number of elements in each coset is finite. Therefore

$$
|G|=\left|a_{1} H\right|+\left|a_{2} H\right|+\cdots+\left|a_{k} H\right|=|H|+|H|+\cdots|H|=k|H|
$$

Thus, $|H|||G|$. So we have shown:

### 7.3 Lagrange's Theorem

Theorem 9 (Lagrange's Theorem) Let $H$ be a subgroup of a finite group $G$. Then $|H|||G|$.

Definition 10 The number of distinct right cosets of $H$ in $G$ is called the index of $H$ in $G$ and is denoted by $[G: H]$ or by $|G: H|$. (Note: if $G$ is infinite, then the index of $H$ in $G$ may or may not be infinite.)

Corollary 11 For finite groups $G$, Lagrange's theorem says $|G|=[G: H] \cdot|H|$.

Example a) $\left[S_{n}: A_{n}\right]=2$
b) $\left[S_{n}: S_{n-1}\right]=n$
c) $\left[S_{n}: D_{n}\right]=n!/ 2 n=(n-1)!/ 2$
d) $[G L(n): S L(n)]=\infty$
e) $[\mathbf{Z}: 2 \mathbf{Z}]=2$
f) If $G L(\mathbf{R}, n)^{+}=\left\{A \in G L(\mathbf{R}, n)^{\mid} \operatorname{det} A>0\right\}$, then $[G L(\mathbf{R}, n)$ : $\left.G L(\mathbf{R}, n)^{+}\right]=2$.
g) $[\mathbf{Z}: n \mathbf{Z}]=n$ ( $n$ a positive integer).
h) $\left[\mathbf{R}^{*}: \mathbf{R}^{+}\right]=2$.

Note: The converse of Lagrange's theorem is false. That is, if $d||G|$, then $G$ need not have a subgroup of order $d$. The simplest example is with $A_{4} .\left|A_{4}\right|=12$ and $6 \mid 12$. Now $A_{4}$ has $\frac{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2}{3}=8$ elements (3-cycles) of order 3 . Suppose that $H<G$ and $|H|=6$. Then let $a \in A_{4}$ be a 3 -cycle such that $a \notin H$. Since $\left[A_{4}: H\right]=2$, the only two cosets of $H$ are $H$ and $a H$. So $a^{2} H=H$ or $a^{2} H=a H$. In the first case, $a^{3} H=a H \Rightarrow H=a H$, a contradiction. In the second case, $a^{3} H=a^{2} H \Rightarrow H=a^{2} H=a H$, again a contradiction.

There are some important yet easy to prove consequences of Lagrange's theorem. First recall that if $x \in G$, then $\langle x\rangle$ is the cyclic subgroup of $G$ consisting of all the powers of $x,\left\{x^{n} \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\right\}$. Of course $|\langle x\rangle|=|x|$.

So if $G$ is finite and $H=\langle x\rangle$, then Lagrange says: $|H|||G|$, so $|x||G|$. That is,

Corollary 12 If $G$ is finite and $x \in G$, then $|x|||G|$.
Thus, if $|G|=n$ and $x \in G$, then $|x| \mid n$ so $n=k|x|$ for some integer $k$. Thus

$$
x^{|G|}=x^{n}=x^{k|x|}=\left(x^{|x|}\right)^{k}=e^{k}=e .
$$

We have proven
Corollary 13 If $G$ is a finite group of order $n=|G|$, then $x^{n}=e$ for all $x \in G$.

Corollary 14 Fermat's Little Theorem For all integers $a$ and all primes $p, a^{p}=$ $a \bmod p$.

Example $114^{3}=4 \bmod 3$, i.e., $64=1 \bmod 3$.
PROOF Use the division algorithm to write $a=q p+r$ with $0 \leq r \leq p-1$. That is, $r \in U(p)=\{o, 1, \ldots, p-1\}=G$. From previous work, because "modding" is a group homomorphism, we can mod before or after multiplying. So $a=r \bmod p$, so $a^{p}=r^{p} \bmod p$. So ETS that $r^{p}=r \bmod p$. But $r \in G=U(p)$ and $|G|=p-1$, so by the previous corollary, $r^{|G|}=r^{p-1}=1$, i.e. $r^{p-1}=1 \bmod p$. Therefore, $r^{p}=r \bmod p$.

At this point we can now classify certain types of groups.
Corollary 15 If a group $G$ is of prime order $p$, then $G$ is cyclic.
PROOF Let $|G|=p$, where $p$ is prime. Let $x$ be any element of $G$ that is not the identity element. Then $|x|||G|$ implies that either $| x \mid=1$ and so $x=e$ (impossible) or $|x|=p$ which implies that $\langle x\rangle=G$. Notice that we have shown that any non-identity element will be a generator in this case. (This is not true of all cyclic groups, $\mathbf{Z}_{4}$ is not generated by 2.)

Thus there is only one group of order $n$ where $n$ is $2,3,5$, and 7 and it is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_{n}$ (i.e., its Cayley table looks like that of $\mathbf{Z}_{n}$ ) Compare with $S_{2}$ with $Z_{2}$. There is only one group of order 3 . We know there are at least two different groups of order $4, Z_{4}$ and $V_{4}$. One of them is not cyclic. In fact $V_{4}$ is the smallest non-cyclic group. You might try to show that these are the only two possible groups of order 4. Which of these is $\mathbf{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2}$ ? We know of at least two groups of order $6, \mathbf{Z}_{6}$ and $D_{3}$. We have seen that $D_{3}$ is tha same as $S_{3}$. $D_{3}$ is the smallest non-abelian group we have seen. Is there a smaller one? Are there other groups of order 6 ?

### 7.4 Consequences for Small Groups

Example 12 Suppose that $G$ is a group of order $p^{2}$ where $p$ is prime. Show that either $G$ is cyclic or $g^{p}=e$ for all $g \in G$.
solution Suppose that $G$ is not cyclic. Then we must show that $g^{p}=e$ for all $g \in G$. But $|g|\left||G|=p^{2}\right.$, so $| g \mid$ is either $1, p$, or $p^{2}$. The last case is impossible for we have assumed that $G$ is not cylic. But then we are done, for now $|g|$ is either 1 or $p$ and in either case $g^{p}=e$.

Example 13 Suppose that $G$ is a group of order $p^{2}$ where $p$ is prime. Show that $G$ must have a proper subgroup of order $p$.
solution Break it into cases: $G$ is either cyclic or not. What does our work above tell you about the latter case? In the former, if you have an element $g$ of order $p^{2}$, can you find an element of order $p$ ?

Example 14 Let $G$ be a non-abelian group of order $2 p$ where $p \neq 2$ is prime. Show $G$ has a cyclic subgroup of order $p$ and it also has $p$ elements of order 2 .

Solution We know that if $a \in G$ with $a \neq e$, then $|a| \mid 2 p$, so $|a|=2$ or $p$ or $2 p$. If $|a|=2 p$, then $G$ would be cyclic and hence abelian. If $a^{2}=e$ for all elements in $G$, then we showed (about week 2 or 3 ) that $G$ would be abelian. This is also a current homework problem. So $G$ has some element $a$ of order $p$ and $H=\langle a\rangle$ is a cyclic subgroup of order $p$. Le $g$ be any one of the remaining $p$ elements not in $H$. Note that $G=H \cup g H$. By cancellation $g^{2} \notin a H$ (else $g^{2} H=g H \Rightarrow g H=H$ ), so $g^{2} \in H$. Further, $|g|$ is either 2 or $p$. (Why?)

If $|g|=p$, then

$$
\left|g^{2}\right|=\frac{p}{\operatorname{gcd}(p, 2)}=p
$$

But $\left\langle g^{2}\right\rangle$ is a subgroup of $\langle g\rangle$ and since both subgroups have order $p$, they must be equal. But $g^{2} \in H$ implies that $\left\langle g^{2}\right\rangle$ is a subgroup of $H$ and since $\left\langle g^{2}\right\rangle=\langle a\rangle$ then $a \in H$. But this is a contradiction. So the order of $g$ must have been 2 .

Let's apply this last result to a non-abelian group $G$ of order $2 \times 3=$ 6. The example shows that we have an element $x$ of order 3 and an element $a$ of order 2. Then $\langle x\rangle=\left\{e, x, x^{2}\right\}=H$. And $G$ is composed of the two disjoint cosets: $G=H \cup a H$, where $a H=\left\{a, a x, a x^{2}\right\}$. Of course this means that $G=\left\{e, x, x^{2}, a, a x, a x^{2}\right\}$. We know that $a^{2}=e$
since it has order 2. Let's see if we can fill in the Cayley Table for $G=\left\{e, x, x^{2}, a, a x, a x^{2}\right\}$. Here's what we know so far:

| $\cdot$ | $e$ | $x$ | $x^{2}$ | $a$ | $a x$ | $a x^{2}$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e$ | $e$ | $x$ | $x^{2}$ | $a$ | $a x$ | $a x^{2}$ |
| $x$ | $x$ | $x^{2}$ | $e$ |  |  |  |
| $x^{2}$ | $x^{2}$ | $e$ | $x$ |  |  |  |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a x$ | $a x^{2}$ | $e$ | $x$ | $x^{2}$ |
| $a x$ | $a x$ | $a x^{2}$ | $a$ |  | $e$ |  |
| $a x^{2}$ | $a x^{2}$ | $a$ | $a x$ |  |  | $e$ |

The rest is a homework problem. Can we fill in the spot in the $x$ row and $a$-column? Show that the only possibilities (since the table is a Latin Square) are that $x a$ equals either $a x$ or $a x^{2}$. Suppose that $x a=a x$; then show from the group table that the group ends up being abelian. (Can you give a better reason: if $x a=a x$, show that all the $a$ 's would commute with all the $x$ 's and since every element in $G$ is written using $a$ 's and $x$ 's, $G$ would be abelian.) Therefore, we must have $x a=a x^{2}$. And now the rest of the table can be filled in.

Example 15 Find all possible groups (up to isomorphism) of order 8 or less.
solution If $|G|=1$, then the group consists of the identity element alone. If $|G|$ is $p=2,3,5,7$, these values of $p$ are prime, so $G$ is cyclic of order $p$ and so $G \cong \mathrm{Z}_{p}$.

Now suppose that $|G|=4$. Either $G$ is cyclic (and isomorphic to $\mathrm{Z}_{4}$ ), or it is not. Suppose that $G=\{e, a, b, c\}$ is not cyclic. Then since the order of each element must divide the order of the group and since only $e$ has order 1 , then $|a|=|b|=|c|=2$. So $G$ is abelian, and from the Fundamental Theorem of Finite Abelian Groups, we must have $G \cong \mathbf{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2} \cong V_{4}$.

What about $|G|=6$ ? If $G$ is abelian, then the Fundamental Theorem again says that $G \cong \mathbf{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{3} \cong \mathbf{Z}_{6}$, so in fact $G$ is cyclic. If $G$ is not abelian, then it must be the non-abelian group of order 6 whose table we filled in above. This table should be familiar: it is $D_{3}$ (which we have also seen is isomorphic to $S_{3}$ by interpretting the motions of the triangle as permutations of the vertices $1,2,3$ of the the triangle).

What about groups of order 8 ? Which do we know? Suppose $G$ is abelian. Then the maximum order of its elements could be 8,4 , or 2 . If $G$ is abelian, then by the Fundamental Theorem for Finite Abelian Groups, $G$ is isomorphic to either $\mathbf{Z}_{8}, \mathbf{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{4}$, or $\mathbf{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbf{Z}_{2}$. If $G$ is not abelian, it has no element of order 8 (else it would be cyclic). If it has no elements of order 4, then all its non-identity elements would be order 2 . But then $G$ would be abelian. So $G$ has an element of order 4,
call it $x$ and let $\langle x\rangle=H$. As in the order $2 p$-example, choose $a \notin H$. Then $G=H \cup a H$ again. so $G=\left\{e, x, x^{2}, x^{3}, a, a x, a x^{2}, a x^{3}\right\}$. Now it gets trickier. See if you can figure out what the possibilities are for $x a$ this time!!! I will give you a boat load of extra credit if you can figure out all the possibilities.

