MATH 375.3 Class 3: Selected Answers

1. Basis Step: When n = 1 we have $1 = 1^2$ so the induction starts. Inductive Step: Note the *n*-th odd integer is 2n - 1. Given that $1 + 3 + \cdots + (2n - 1) = n^2$. To show that

$$1 + 3 + \dots + (2n - 1) + (2n + 1) = (n + 1)^{2}.$$

But

$$1 + 3 + \dots + (2n - 1) + (2n + 1) = [1 + 3 + \dots + (2n - 1)] + (2n + 1) = n^{2} + (2n + 1)$$
given
= $(n + 1)^{2}$.

So the formula holds for all positive integers.

2. Basis Step: When n = 1 we have $1 \cdot 1! = 2 - 1 = (1 + 1)! - 1$ so the induction starts. Inductive Step: Given that $1 \cdot 1! + 2 \cdot 2! + \cdots + n \cdot n! = (n + 1)! - 1$. To show that

$$1 \cdot 1! + 2 \cdot 2! + \dots + n \cdot n! + (n+1) \cdot (n+1)! = (n+2)! - 1.$$

 But

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \cdot 1! + 2 \cdot 2! + \dots + n \cdot n! + (n+1) \cdot (n+1)! &= [1 \cdot 1! + 2 \cdot 2! + \dots + n \cdot n!] + (n+1) \cdot (n+1)! \\ &= [(n+1)! - 1] + (n+1) \cdot (n+1)! \\ &= -1 + (n+1+1) \cdot (n+1)! \\ &= (n+2)(n+1)! - 1 \\ &= (n+2)! - 1. \end{aligned}$$
 given

- **3.** $d = \gcd(a, b)$ is a linear combination of a and b, say d = ma + nb. But t|ma and t|nb, since t|a and t|b, respectively. So t|(ma + nb) and, therefore, t|d.
- 4. a) Gallian page 22 #17. Given $a = b \mod st$, so st|(a b). Therefore, both s|(a b) and t|(a b). Or equivalently, $a = b \mod s$ and $a = b \mod t$, respectively.
 - **b**) gcd(s,t) need to be relatively prime for the converse to hold. Can you prove it?
- 5. a) Gallian page 22 #15. Solution:

$$126 = 34 \cdot 3 + 24$$

$$34 = 24 \cdot 1 + 10$$

$$24 = 10 \cdot 2 + 4$$

$$10 = 4 \cdot 2 + 2$$

$$4 = 2 \cdot 2 + 0$$

So, gcd(126, 34) = 2.

b) Simply work backwards through the chain of equalities in the Euclidean algorithm.

 $2 = 10 - 2 \cdot 4$ now eliminate 4 = 10 - 2(24 - 2 \cdot 10) = 5 \cdot 10 - 2 \cdot 24 now eliminate 10 5(34 - 24) - 2 \cdot 24 = 5 \cdot 34 - 7 \cdot 24 now eliminate 24 = 5 \cdot 34 - 7(126 - 3 \cdot 34) = -7 \cdot 126 + 26 \cdot 34. 6. Gallian page 35 #3. D_3 is not abelian (you should give at least one example of why not). The Cayley table is not symmetric.

| | * | r_0 | r_{120} | r_{240} | a | b | c | _ |
|----------|----|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|
| r | ,0 | r_0 | r_{120} | r_{240} | a | b | с | - |
| r_{12} | 0 | r_{120} | r_{240} | r_0 | b | c | a | |
| r_{24} | 0 | r_{240} | r_0 | r_{120} | c | a | b | |
| | a | a | c | b | r_0 | r_{240} | r_{120} | |
| | b | b | a | c | r_{120} | r_0 | r_{240} | |
| | с | c | b | a | r_{240} | r_{120} | r_0 | |

- 7. (Converse of the Linear Combination Theorem). Let d = gcd(s, n). Then d is the *smallest* positive linear combination of s and n. But by assumption, 1 = as + bn. That is, 1 is a linear combination of s and n. Therefore d is a positive number such that $d \leq 1$. This forces d = 1.
- 8. Gallian page 51 #1. The odd integers are not closed under addition and there is no identity.
- **9.** Gallian page 51 #25. The table is

| * | e | a | b | с | d |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| e | e | a | b | с | d |
| a | a | b | с | d | e |
| b | b | c | d | e | a |
| c | c | d | e | a | b |
| d | d | e | a | b | c |